IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1146 OF 2005

Salim Ahmad Shaikh ... Applicant

versus

State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr.R.V. Gupta, for the Applicant.

Mr.K.V. Saste, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

28th February 2005

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. As learned A.P.P. fairly accepts on instructions that the co-accused who are the prime accused, have been released on bail, the Applicant is entitled for bail on parity. But he insists that strict conditions be imposed on the Applicant. Accordingly, this application is allowed on the following terms.

(A) The Applicnt is granted bail in connection with CR.No.453/2003 registered with Andheri Police Station on executing personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one or two local sureties in the like amount.

(B) The Applicant shall not travel outside Mumbai, except with prior intimation to the investigating officer as well as the trial Court.

(C) The Applicant shall regularly report to the investigating officer once a month on first Saturday of every English Calendar month during the pendency of the trial. This condition is imposed in view of the fact that there are antecedents against the Applicant.

(D) The Applicant shall not influence the prosecution witnesses or tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner whatsoever.

(E) The Applicant shall co-operate for early disposal of the trial. If the trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicant is in any manner responsible for the delay in disposal of the trial, that fact be recorded in the roznama, which can be a ground for cancellation of bail.

. Application disposed of on the above terms.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)